Graywater Reuse A Case Study at Colorado State University Regulatory Planning and Seeking a Cost-Effective System



Susanne Cordery-Cotter, P.E. Sybil Sharvelle, Ph.D.





- LEED Gold residence Hall at Colorado State University
- Graywater collection 14 sinks, 13 showers
- Treatment
- Distribution for toilet flushing

Graywater Treatment Objectives

- Save water
- Protect public health Install a cost-effective system



CSU College of Engineering

Dr. Larry Roesner
Dr. Sybil Sharvelle
Graduate students







Treatment Possibilities

BiologicalChemical/physicalDisinfection









Research at Aspen Hall

- Filter types
 - Disinfection methods
- Treated graywater quality
 Regrowth
 Dye interference



Figure 3.5 Regrowth of Spiked Pathogens in Graywater with Two Different Chlorine Residual Concentrations, 2.75 mg/L (A) and 1.5 mg/L (B) (\diamond) chlorine residual, (**m**) *E*, *coli*, (\diamond) S. *enterica*, (\diamond) total coliforms, (\diamond) *P aeruginoca*. Influent TOC was not measured for these tests. For graywater originating from the same source (i.e. same group of students), the range of TOC was 45.0 to 85.3 mg/L-C. The average TOC was 61.6 mg/L-C with a standard deviation of 8.3 mg/L-C.



Figure 3.2 Disinfection Efficacies for Chlorine, UV and Ozone for 3 Bacteria and 1 Bacteriophage. A) E. coli B) S. enterica C) P. aeruginosa D) MS2 bacteriophage Chlorine results represent a contact time of 60 minutes. Log reduction of pathogens with ozone was not detected where not shown.

> * indicates complete disinfection (■) chlorine, (■) UV, (■) ozone Table 3.1 shows average water quality parameters for these tests.

Results



Appropriate for toilet flushing

and differences



NON-POTABLE



Regulations and Standards

- Flushing toilets does not need to be treated to potable water standard
 - Protecting public health
 - Saving water
 - Safeguards

 Disinfection
 Backflow prevention
 Dye
 signs



The Aspen System





Tank Features

• Closed top, have vents, drains, overflows





Potable water

- RP backflow preventer
 - Can feed to any tank if inadequate gray water is generated
- Solenoids to switch to potable in case of high or low chlorine in disinfection tank

Controls

- Continuous chlorine analyzer
 Building automation system alarms
 - Switch to potable if out of chlorine range



Untreated Graywater

- Personal care products
- Hair
- Body fluids (sweat, urine)
 Lotion, sunscreen
 Antimicrobial hand soap
 Discarded drinks
 Cleaning chemicals
 Ramen noodle rinse water
 Fats oil and grease

Living proc

bricot

trub

Regulatory Interactions

Water rights
Plumbing code
County health department
In-house approvals



State Level

- Examining Board of Plumbers
- Office of the State Architect
- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
- Division of Water Resources State Engineer's Office Legislators – HB13-1044



Plumbing Board

- 2009 IPC requires connection of all fixtures to potable water
- Appendix C not adopted by Colorado Waiver required



County and City

Larimer County Health departmentCity of Fort Collins



In-House Departments

Facilities Management
Housing and Dining Services
Office of Legal Counsel
Environmental Health Services
College of Engineering
Research and Integrity and Compliance Review Office

What are the Standards for Toilet Flushing?

Wide variety of standards
Turbidity <2 NTU and up
BOD5 10 mg/L to 200 mg/L
TSS 10 mg/L to no limit
pH 6 to 9
e-Coli 14 CFU/100 ml to 75 CFU/100 ml



Aspen Hall System Quality

- Does not meet any State or NSF standards for suspended solids or turbidity
- Meets some limits for BOD5
- Meets all published limits for pH and e-coli No regrowth of e-coli after two days
- Important to maintain chlorine >2.75mg/L at end of treatment system

3 weeks of the fall semester and again in the spring semester (Fig. 4.5). The average chlorine residual after cleaning was >3 mg/L.



Figure 4.5 Chlorine Residual, Total Coliforms and *E. coli* During Operation; black vertical line indicates cleaning of pre-treatment storage tank

 (*) chlorine residual, (*) total coliforms, and (*) E. coli

During the stable operation, total coliforms were observed in 2 of 6 samples (0.3 and 1.6 log cfu/100 mL), and *E. coli* was not detected in any samples. The potable water supply was turned back on in March 2013, and no change in the stability of the chlorine residual or system performance was observed. Figure 4.6 shows the inside of the storage tank before and after cleaning. A cleaning frequency of once per semester was added to the SOP (Appendix B).

Why treat for solids and turbidity?

Suspended solidsTurbidity

Drinking water Surface waters

Graywater toilet flushing – not potable, discharged to sanitary sewer.

Complex Treatment

Backwash (wastes water and energy)
Membrane cleaning (high energy consumption)
Ultraviolet light (photoreactivation)



Costs

Capital Costs	
Plumbing, collection and distribution piping	\$20,100
Treatment system	\$38,400
In-line monitoring and control	\$8,000
Total Capital Costs:	\$66,500

O&M Costs

Operation and maintenance costs (est.)

\$5,000/year

Savings

(1.28 gal/flush)*(5 flushes/person/day)*(28 persons)*(365day/yr)= 65,408 gallons per year
Cost of water \$5.89 per thousand gallons
Savings \$385 per year



Comparison

High capital cost due to stepwise construction, research system, changes required by plumbing board.

Savings \$385 per year



O&M \$5,000 per year

Hypothetical Scenario

Multi-family residential building
Graywater for toilet flushing
Ten-year payback

Single-Family Scenario

No tangible payback with toilet flushing alone
Incorporate irrigation component
Social, environmental and economic considerations = net benefit.

Conclusions from the Aspen System

- Coarse filtration and disinfection
- Uses non-potable water for toilet flushing
- Prevents regrowth of e-coli
 - Includes safeguards to prevent cross-connection
 - Includes education of users
 - Is simple and relatively inexpensive to operate

Thank you.

