Los Angeles Chapter of the WateReuse Association

MEETING SUMMARY

Location: Glendale Water and Power

Address: 141 N. Glendale Ave, Perkins 1st Floor Community Room #118
Glendale, CA 91206

Purpose: Bi-Monthly Meeting

Date and Time: December 3, 2013 from 11:30 a.m. – 1:30 p.m.

Distribution: Los Angeles WateReuse Association Chapter Members

Lunch: Sponsored by Carollo Engineers

Below is a summary of highlights from the December member meeting of the LA Chapter of the WateReuse Association.

1) Venue host presentation: Glendale Water & Power’s Recycled Water Activities (Raja Takidin)

Raja provided some background and an overview of Glendale Water and Power’s (GWP) recycled water use. Beginning in 1974, GWP provided recycled water to a power plant for cooling water. Today, GWP delivers 2,000 afy of the 8,500 afy of recycled water available from Los Angeles-Glendale Water Reclamation Plant (LAGWRP).

The following activities are underway for expand GWP’s recycled water deliveries:

- The City of Los Angeles (LADWP) is extending a recycled water pipeline through Bette Davis park. This is GWP’s first design/build project and is currently in the preliminary design phase.

- GWP is extending a recycled water pipeline to public works facilities for trucks washing, landscaping and fire training.

- GWP is working with LADWP on a new line to Los Feliz Golf Course and a couple of other parks.

- GWP is working with the City of Burbank on agreement to exchange water.

- GWP is also arranging for delivery of recycled water to the City of Pasadena.

Raymond Jay asked what the limiting factor to further expansion of recycled water use by GWP. Raja noted that the biggest limiting factor for selling recycled water is its cost. A recent feasibility study indicated a cost of $1500/AF for recycled water (vs. $800/AF for potable water from Metropolitan). Certainly as the cost of imported water increases, the use of recycled water might become more appealing. GWP provides 25 percent discount to encourage recycled water use.
Raja also noted that the use of dual-plumbing is restricted due to the inability of using of swivel ell connection and the need for potable water backup/redundancy. When Glendale College wanted to use dual plumbing years ago they were able to employ a swivel ell connection, but this no longer allowed. Raja believes this restriction discourages industry from hooking up. He also noted that an interconnection with the system will allow GWP to serve Disney by providing a backup supply source.

Carlos Borja asked whether WateReuse was willing to spearhead the use of swivel-elbow connections. Raymond indicated that he will bring this suggestion to the CA Section Regulatory and Legislative Committee.

Reymundo Trejo asked about the benefits of using a design/build delivery model for small pipeline construction. Raja noted that this was a common practice in power industry as it provides time savings.

2) Lunch sponsor presentation: “AOP Piloting at the Terminal Island Water Reclamation Plant” (Harmik Aghanian)

Harmik presented the testing plan for advanced oxidation process at the City of Los Angeles’ Terminal Island Water Reclamation Plant (TIWRP). TIWRP influent contains a substantial component of industrial flows. Most of the 16 mgd of tertiary-treated flows are discharged to the Los Angeles Harbor. An existing advanced water treatment facility (AWTF) is designed to treat up to 5 mgd with MF/RO for injection into the Dominguez Gap Barrier Project. The AWTF will be expanded to up to 12 mgd to eliminate potable water blending at the Barrier and provide 100 percent recycled water contribution (RWC). It will also provide 2 mgd of permeate to Machado Lake to improve its dissolved oxygen concentration. Expanding the AWTF will enable the City to more fully reuse the TIWRP flow, thus effectively eliminate discharge to the harbor.

California’s draft Groundwater Replenishment Reuse Regulation are expected to be promulgated this year. It will require advanced oxidation for subsurface injection projects with a requirement of 0.5 log reduction of 1,4-dioxane. The advanced oxidation process (AOP) is typically a combination of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) with ultraviolet light (UV) or ozone (O3). AOP generates hydroxyl radicals that have been demonstrated to be highly effective at removing trace contaminants.

Bench scale testing was conducted to compare the effectiveness of the following treatment schemes:

- H2O2 followed by UV
- sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) followed by UV
- O3 followed by H2O2
• H₂O₂ followed by O₃

Both medium pressure and low pressure UV systems were tested. All treatment schemes included post treatment with NaOCl followed by sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂).

Bench-scale testing indicated that O₃/H₂O₂ combinations did not sufficiently inactivate protozoa (Giardia and Cryptosporidium) and formed bromate under specific dosages. Pilot testing will include UV following the O₃/H₂O₂ treatment schemes. The UV/H₂O₂ scheme did not adequately remove 1,4-dioxane, but this will be reassessed during pilot testing. The NaOCl/UV treatment scheme was effective for all constituents tested.

Pilot testing will be conducted with UV equipment provided by Wedeco as well as Trojan Technologies.

Carlos Borja expressed surprise that the results of the bench testing using RO-treated permeate did not sufficiently remove protozoa. As a follow up, Harmik provided the following discussion to further clarify this limitation:

*The June 2013 Draft Groundwater Replenishment with Reclaimed Water (GRRW) requires 12-log virus reduction, 10-log Giardia cyst reduction, and 10-log Cryptosporidium oocyst reduction (Section 60320.108). All three pathogen targets are granted log reduction credits based on demonstrated performance, however, any one single treatment process (including RO) cannot be credited with more than 6-log reduction. One of the reasons CDPH does not allow RO, or any other technology to completely fulfill reduction requirements is based on the current inability to continuously monitor performance in a way that verifies high log reduction of pathogens. The concern is that an undetected membrane failure would compromise the water quality. Thus, a second and sometimes third treatment process is needed to meet the 12/10/10 goals from CDPH.*

John Robinson noted that since Machado Lake is a 303d listed waterbody, coordination with the Regional Board is needed to get a permit to discharge recycled water into the lake.

A question was raised as to why the TIWRP discharge was being eliminated. Reymundo Trejo explained that for compliance with the 1994 Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Policy, the City of LA and the Regional Board agreed to reuse an initial 5 mgd of the plant effluent and to fully eliminate discharge by 2020.

Harmik’s presentation can be found on the LA Chapter WateReuse website*.

3) Legislative/Regulatory Updates (Raymond Jay)

Raymond noted that Governor saw over 800 bills and vetoed 96 of them.

Below is a summary of Raymond’s presentation on the status of key regulations related to recycled water use:
He started us out with a primer on the upcoming legislative schedule:

- January 1  Statutes take effect
- January 6  Legislature reconvenes
- January 10  Governor submits budget
- January 31  Last day to pass 2013 bills out of house of origin
- February 21  Last day for bills to be introduced
- August 31  Last day for each house to pass bills/Final Recess begins

- **AB 803 Water Recycling Act of 2013** – Sponsored by WateReuse, this bill was signed into law. It includes authorization for use of hose bibs in cemeteries for tertiary-treated recycled water which could drive increased recycled water demand.

- **SB 322 Water Recycling** – Sponsored by the San Diego County Water Authority and the City of San Diego this bill was signed into law. It presses CDPH to move forward more quickly with developing recycling criteria for direct potable reuse (DPR). The Governor indicated in a signing message that he thought the 3-year allotted timeframe was too long and that this process should be expedited. Raymond noted that project-specific expert panels might also be needed for the first few DPR projects until the relevant technologies are more fully established.

- **AB 1200 Recycled Water Agricultural Impoundments** – Pilot testing of the water quality impacts of using recycled water to augment agricultural impoundments. This bill was vetoed by the Governor indicating that the State Board already has authority to do this and that the irrigators should indeed be using more recycled water.

WateReuse Association’s National Legislative Committee activities:

- Develop 2014 work plan
- WRRDA 2013 bill – provides Federal funding for infrastructure. The Committee supports it but is concerned about SRF funds being limited if WRRDA goes through; also concerned that Buy America should not be included if it is not necessary, slows down project progress or limits funding.

CA Reorganization Task Force: Looks likely that the CDPH Drinking Water Programs will move to the State Water Resources Control Board, likely through an administrative process. The Task Force is gathering feedback. It is not expected that this change will affect relationships with local CDPH for recycled water retrofit permitting.

**2014 Water Bond** – There are two pieces of legislation proposed related to Water Bond—both are approximately $6.5B: **AB 1331** - specifies use of IRMWP as primary tool for identifying projects to increase regional self-reliance. A concern here is that recycled water projects could be competing with other IRWMP-listed projects. This version would be subject to annual appropriations. **SB 42** includes funding for flood management projects. This version provides continuous appropriations. Both bills were held in committee and will be considered next year. ACWA (Association of
California Water Agencies) has prepared a table that identifies the differences between the two bills.

2014 Recycled Water Legislation:

Suggestions for focus this year include:

- Swivel ells should be reconsidered
- Title 22/Title 17 road blocks i.e., what stalls your projects? Raymond Jay and Monica Gasca will be polling the membership in mid-December.
- Metropolitan Water District has proposed a bill to ACWA to get CEQA exemption for pipelines <8 mile in rights-of-way. Might include additional CEQA issues.
- Other suggestions? Tell Raymond!

*Raymond’s presentation can be found on the LA Chapter WateReuse website*.

4) Los Angeles County Department of Public Health Update (Carlos Borja)

The updated 2013 California Plumbing Code will be effective January 1st, 2014. Purple pipe will be used for all non-potable water, although County DPH wanted to restrict it for recycled water use (tertiary-treated recycled water can be sprayed, but others, such as gray water, cannot). Thus purple pipe will not be able to have pre-stenciling as it currently does.

This IAPMO version will be adapted to LA County’s Plumbing Code, which can be more restrictive.

Other note considerations from County DPH:

- Developers using one recycled water service for all parcels on large properties comprising several parcels: DPH policy has been one service connection per parcel because individual parcels could be sold off. Parcels have to be served by both domestic as well as recycled water.
- DPH is having trouble keeping track of Water Supervisors for individual sites. In Master Permits, the producer (e.g., LACSD) is responsible for the end user (e.g., school). Have approved and are trying to implement permit fees of $65/yr for inspection per project for sites with domestic service. If there are changes during the previous year, it will trigger inspection and the fee. There is no administrative process to communicate this. He is planning to set up database that would be broadly accessible. Carlos welcomes comments/feedback on this proposed process. Email him at caborja@ph.lacounty.gov.
- Dual-plumbing – Designers need to incorporate vents on the roof to allow the system to drain properly.
School districts and DSA approvals: The school district is looking at the Dept. of State Architecture as all sole approval needed for project implementation and objects to LA County DPH’s inspections. Pipeline ID is an issue. Water lines are buried under roads and they then have to dig them up for DPH.

Hose Bibs for Cemeteries: Hose bibs will be provided for people to fill vases and the like, but cultural traditions in use of water at cemeteries, e.g., for hand washing, may be challenging. There will not be any potable water on site other than at restroom facilities. Informational signs, pamphlets, educational information, etc. will need to be provided. Testing/chlorination could be beneficial.

5) California State Section Update (Monica Gasca)

The 2015-2019 Strategic and Business Plan will begin preparation to establish goals for next 5 years re: membership, water reuse, industry involvement, etc. This can serve as guidance for chapter activity planning.

6) Award Nominations (Monica Gasca)

Annual CA Section Conference 2014 in Newport Beach in March – Let’s have a strong representation from the LA Chapter. Award applications are due January 10 and Monica is willing to help prepare the applications or you can send recommendations to Monica at mgasca@lacsd.org. Award winners are selected by the committee consisting of reps from each chapter. There were no nominees (or no suitable nominees) for many of the categories last year.

7) Chapter Updates

a. October Chapter Meeting Notes – approved.
b. Chapter Newsletter Update (Matt Elsner) – Articles contributions are needed for the next newsletter by December 10th. Would like to get another one out by year end. Also need questions for Earle’s Ask the Guru column.

8) Focus Areas

a. Funding Opportunities (John Robinson)

John reminded the Chapter of the following funding sources for projects:

- Metropolitan Water District’s Local Resources Program (LRP) funding
- SWRCB – uses a single application (FAAST) for all grants
- Department of Water Resources
  - IRWMP Round 3 has not been announced – depends on FY 2014/15 funding
  - Proposed Solicitation Package (PSP) - grant funding for ocean and groundwater desalination, including EIRs
9) Other Topics
   a. Industrial Use Committee (Elise Goldman)
      December 9/10 - first specialty conference, in Long Beach.
      A webinar will be held on creative financing solutions for industrial reuse by the US
      Business Council for Sustainable Development and Sustainable Water, featuring Bill
      MacDonald/Metropolitan re: water savings incentive program Dec. 18, 11am-
      12:15pm.
   b. Firefighting with Recycled Water and Revision to LACRWAC Recycled Water
      Users Manual (Earle Hartling)
      Firefighting - Comments were provided by LACSD that need to be addressed. Earle
      will have the revised version for us by our next meeting.
      Users Manual – Earle has comments from the Working Group and will try to
      distribute to the chapter shortly.
   c. Technical topics poll for future meetings (Inge Wiersema)
      Inge distributed a survey to the membership for input on preferences for potential
      technical topics for future meetings. She will report out on the results at the next
      member meeting.

10) Membership Roundtable – Projects, Regulatory Issues, Financing, etc. (Kraig
     Erickson)
    Lively discussion earlier on in the meeting superseded the need for this agenda item.

11) Elections:
    Ballots were completed for 2014 officer elections.

12) Next Meeting
    • February 11, 2014 – Host: Las Virgenes MWD; Sponsor: RMC Water and
      Environment

Los Angeles Chapter Officers for 2014
Raymond Jay, President 213-217-5777 rjay@mwdh2o.com
Kraig Erickson, Vice President 805-550-5232 kerickson@rmcwater.com
Judi Miller, Secretary/Treasurer 213-228-8236 judi.miller@ch2m.com
Monica Gasca, Chapter Trustee 562-908-4288 x2838 mgasca@lacsd.org
John Robinson, Past-President 626-375-9389 jrobinson@johnrobinsonconsulting.com

Meeting Summary-2013-12-03_DRAFT.docx
Prepared by JMiller, Secretary
* The presentations from this December, 2013 meeting can be found at:  
http://www.watereuse.org/sections/california/losangeles/meetings

**Meeting Attendees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anita Matlock</td>
<td>Rain Bird Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asif Sheikh</td>
<td>Burbank Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlos Borja</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathy Chang</td>
<td>Water Replenishment District of So. Cal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Guel</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Cresse</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dusty Moisio</td>
<td>Rowland Water District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earle Hartling</td>
<td>Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elise Goldman</td>
<td>West Basin MWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Sobczak</td>
<td>Castaic Lake Water Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Smith</td>
<td>CDM Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabiola Wells</td>
<td>Glendale Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Gerringer</td>
<td>Trussell Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Roephe</td>
<td>Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmik Aghanian</td>
<td>Carollo Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inge Wiersema</td>
<td>Carollo Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Yim</td>
<td>Castaic Lake Water Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Leserman</td>
<td>Castaic Lake Water Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jocelyn Carrillo</td>
<td>Los Angeles/Bureau of Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Yersky</td>
<td>Walnut Valley Water District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joey Uy</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles/Bureau of Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Robinson</td>
<td>John Robinson Consulting, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joey Uy</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles/Bureau of Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirk Allen</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraig Erickson</td>
<td>RMC Water and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luis Macias</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Elsner</td>
<td>Burbank Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Knudson</td>
<td>Palmdale Water District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Gasca</td>
<td>Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Liu</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quang Ly</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raja Takidin</td>
<td>Glendale Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Mokhtari</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District of So. Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Notario</td>
<td>Glendale Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Jay</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District of So. Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reymundo Trejo</td>
<td>Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosalba Santana</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosanna Lau</td>
<td>Glendale Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seth Carr</td>
<td>Los Angeles/Bureau of Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadi Bader</td>
<td>Burbank Water and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Monk</td>
<td>Walnut Valley Water District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL ATTENDEES: 41